# Useful Statistics for Describing Hydraulic Conductivity of the **Quaternary Strata From the Latvian Borehole Log Data**

Jānis JĀTNIEKS, Konrāds POPOVS, Jānis UKASS, Tomas SAKS, Aija DĒLIŅA

University of Latvia, Faculty of Geography and Earth Sciences, Alberta 10, Riga, Latvia, e-mail: janis.jatnieks@lu.lv

loam-clay 896

limestone-low

conductivity

conductivity

dolomite

domerite

dolomite)

11 | sandy loam

8 (clayey

9 clay

10 loam

12 silt

13 sand

14 gravel

16 peat

15 gypsum

6

7

dolomite-high

#### Introduction

Borehole log data in the territory of Latvia is highly variable in quality spatial distribution and accuracy of georeferencing, making direct comparisons between different boreholes difficult. It is, however, useful to compile some global statistics describing the broader geologica characteristics present in the Quaternary strata. Results presented here have been compiled for reference during work on the representation of Quaternary strata in the regional groundwater model MOSYS V1 using data available to the project "Establishment of interdisciplinary scientist group and modelling system for groundwater research" (PUMa).

### **Data and Methods**

Borehole log data from two data bases was used - Estoniar Hydrogeological database (cadastre) and data from Latvian Borehole database (Figure 1). The Estonian data here was used for comparing the number of aquifer-aquiclude transitions with Latvia. The regiona groundwater modelling system for Baltic artesian basin - MOSYS V required hydraulic conductivities for the Quaternary strata. To derive this we started by looking at the ways to compare the quality of the borehole log data available. To make the log structures comparable in a universa form useful for finding structural patterns, a range normalization for al layer depth entries in all boreholes was performed. This range-normalized borehole log data is used to generate 1000 bin histograms for boreholes that have at least 2 layers in the Quaternary part of the log data. A 1000 bin histogram (Figure 2) was selected because 1 unit of normalized depth represents, on average, 4.5 cm of the log depth in the Quaternary sequence, thus providing an adequate precision for this analysis as well as allowing for an amount of "noise" in the log data

,	Transition	Number of	Number of transitions	In number of	Percent of	Number of transitions	In number of boreholes	Percent of boreholes		
, t	type	transitions	1	3071	05 40%	1	1250	91 24%		
ι 、	sand-loam	5753	I	5071	95.40 /0	1	1200	51.2470		
ן נ	loam-sand	4465	2	118	3.67%	2	97	7.08%		
	sand-silt	4149	3	24	0 75%	3	15	1.09%		
e f	sand-sandy loam	3765	4	5	0.16%	4	7	0.51%		
)	sandy loam- sand	3660	5	1	0.03%	5	1	0.07%		
t	silt-sand	3342	Table 5: Tra	ansitions of ty	pe aquifer -	Table 6: Transitions of type aquiclude -				
	sand-clay	2339	aquiclude (ad	quifer on top)	in Estonian	aquifer (aqui	clude on top)	in Estonian		
	gravel-loam	2083	borehole log data, in 3219 boreholes. borehole log data, in 1370 boreholes.							
	loam-gravel	1990								
	sand-gravel	1966			Description		I	Developt		
ן ו	silt-loam	1954	Number of	Number of	Parcant At	Numper of	In number of	Unrent of		
		1004						Fercent		
)	clay-sand	1838	transitions	boreholes	boreholes	transitions	boreholes	boreholes		
; ;	clay-sand sandy loam- loam	1838 1530	transitions 1	boreholes 1194	59.14%	transitions	boreholes 703	boreholes 52.11%		
) ) 	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam	1838 1530 1502	transitions 1 2	<b>boreholes</b> 1194 445	59.14%	transitions 1	boreholes 703 334	boreholes           52.11%           24.76%		
	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam sandy loam- gravel	1838 1530 1502 1442	transitions 1 2 3	boreholes 1194 445 214	boreholes           59.14%           22.04%           10.60%	transitions 1 2 3	boreholes           703           334           166	Percent of           boreholes           52.11%           24.76%           12.31%		
;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam sandy loam- gravel silt-sandy loam	1838 1530 1502 1442 1371	transitions 1 2 3 4	boreholes 1194 445 214 68	boreholes           59.14%           22.04%           10.60%           3.37%	transitions 1 2 3 4	boreholes 703 334 166 75	Percent of           boreholes           52.11%           24.76%           12.31%           5.56%		
	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam sandy loam- gravel silt-sandy loam loam-sandy loam	1838         1530         1502         1442         1371         1357	transitions 1 2 3 4 5	boreholes 1194 445 214 68 55	boreholes         59.14%         22.04%         10.60%         3.37%         2.72%	transitions 1 2 3 4 5	boreholes           703           334           166           75           39	Percent of           boreholes           52.11%           24.76%           12.31%           5.56%           2.89%		
	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam sandy loam- gravel silt-sandy loam loam-sandy loam	1838       1530       1502       1442       1371       1357       1276	transitions 1 1 2 3 4 5 6	boreholes 1194 445 214 68 55 23	boreholes 59.14% 22.04% 10.60% 3.37% 2.72% 1.14%	transitions 1 2 3 4 5 6	boreholes 703 334 166 75 39 16	Percent of boreholes         52.11%         24.76%         12.31%         5.56%         2.89%         1.19%		
	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam sandy loam- gravel silt-sandy loam loam-sandy loam loam-silt gravel-sand	1838         1530         1502         1442         1371         1357         1276         1195	transitions         1         2         3         4         5         6	boreholes 1194 445 214 68 55 23	boreholes 59.14% 22.04% 10.60% 3.37% 2.72% 1.14%	transitions         1         2         3         4         5         6	boreholes 703 334 166 75 39 16	Percent of boreholes         52.11%         24.76%         12.31%         5.56%         2.89%         1.19%		
	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam sandy loam- gravel silt-sandy loam loam-sandy loam loam-silt gravel-sand soil-sand	1838         1530         1502         1442         1371         1357         1276         1195         1177	transitions         1         2         3         4         5         6         7	boreholes 1194 445 214 68 55 23 9	boreholes         59.14%         22.04%         10.60%         3.37%         2.72%         1.14%         0.45%	transitions         1         2         3         4         5         6         7	boreholes           703           334           166           75           39           16           9	Percent of boreholes         52.11%         24.76%         12.31%         5.56%         2.89%         1.19%         0.67%		
	clay-sand sandy loam- loam clay-loam sandy loam- gravel silt-sandy loam loam-sandy loam loam-silt gravel-sand gravel-sandy loam	1838         1530         1502         1442         1371         1357         1276         1195         1177         1173	transitions         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8	boreholes 1194 445 214 68 55 23 9 9 6	boreholes         59.14%         22.04%         10.60%         3.37%         2.72%         1.14%         0.45%         0.30%	transitions         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8	boreholes 703 334 166 75 39 16 9 39	Percent of           boreholes           52.11%           24.76%           12.31%           5.56%           2.89%           1.19%           0.67%           0.22%		

# Results

For generalization of the lithology structure in the simplified 4 layer model was used in MOSYS V1 was founded in the results of a generalized aqu statistics (Tables 3-6) of the borehole logs using li Table 1. For the regional model layers compose loam and silt where regarded as aquicludes transitions for 81% of boreholes in Latvia (Table 3 available Estonian data (Table 5) shows that for Estonia have only one such transition in the Qua difference is due to the fact that Quaternary cov especially in northern part of the territory. Over simplified yet representative version of Quater regional modelling purposes, be represented with 2 aquicludes respectively, with each aquifer on top

To further improve this model another set of laye performed. Using the lithology classifier for ag codes into more general groups of sedimentary ro of all transitions between rock type classes was p

50 -

100 -

150 -

200 -

250 -

300 -

350 -

<u>ـ</u> 400 -

database language.

The most common layer transitions are sand-loam, loamsand and sand-silt transitions (Table 2).

The normalized layer transition histogram shows that some particularly transitions are numerous (Figure 2), such as the 0.500, 0.333, 0.250, 0.200, normalized depth 0.666 transitions in the Quaternary sediments.

This may be an indicator pointing towards a requirement 8 450 for borehole log quality control. Q

for this analysis as well	silt	-clay	860
	soil-sandy l	oam	845
a precision.	clay-sandy l	oam	776
	sandy loam-	-clay	577
	peat-s	sand	539
Outotomorm ( a a dimension a	cla	y-silt	529
Quaternary sediments a	silt-gr	avel	479
(Seņņikovs, 2011). This	grave	el-silt	380
uifer-aquiclude transition	clay-gr	avel	368
itholay classification from	soil-l	oam	341
ad of alow loom condy	soil	-clay	169
	gravel	-clay	166
a. There are two such	peat-l	oam	161
3). A comparison with the	SO	il-silt	153
or 95% of boreholes in	pea	t-silt	142
aternary sediments. This	gyttja and c	other	
	biog	enic	140
ver in Estonia is thinner,	sediments-s	sand	
rall this suggests that a	SOII-	peat	118
rnary deposits can, for	peat-sandy l	oam	104
4 layers - 2 aquiters and	soil-gr	avel	87
o of an aquiclude.	peat	-clay	76
or transition counts ware	sand-gyttja	and	
	other blog	enic	71
gregation of lithological	sedim	ents	
ock types, a global count	sand-	peat	66
performed using the SQL	Table 2: Ty	pes	of layer
	transitions	betv	veen
	lithology cl	asse	es from
	Table 1. so	rted	bv rank.
	l imited to t	trans	sition
	combinatio		vith moro
	then E0 as		
	than 50 oc	curre	ences in the
	Latvian boi	reho	le log data.
	class	lith	ology
	1	san	dstone
		san	dstone-low
	<b>~</b>		
	2	con	ductivity
	3	con san	ductivity dstone-high
	2	con san con	ductivity dstone-high ductivity
	2 3 4	con san con	ductivity dstone-high ductivity estone

10	3	0.15%	
12	1	0.05%	

Table 3: Transitions of type aquiferaquiclude (aquifer on top) in Latvian borehole log data, in 2019 boreholes.

11	1	0.07%
Table 1. Trans	sitions of type ar	nuiclude

**Table 4:** Transitions of type aquiclude -aquifer (aquiclude on top) in Latvian borehole log data. In 1349 boreholes.



**Boreholes** 

CGIAR SRTM V4.1 DEM Coordinate Reference System:

The aquifer-aquiclude counts allow for a coarse generalization of hydraulic conductivity in a simplified 4 layer model of the Quaternary strata. The layer transition counts for aggregate lithology types serve as a reference for work on a sequence based interpolation of inter-cluster boundary transition gradients for spatial clusters of similar lithological structure in the Quaternary part of the borehole logs.

# Conclusion

This data has been gradually complied during the PUMa project using available data. It may, however, be possible for other studies to benefit from these results as reference.

₩ 500-							
rmali 220 -			 				
0 600 -			- <u> </u>				
650 -			 - -				
700 -			= =	_			
750 -			<u> </u>				
800 -			<u> </u>				
850 -							
900 -			= =				
950 -							
C	)	10	20	30	40	50	60
		N I I			1.1	.1	

Number of layer transitions at

Figure 2: Histogram of layer transitions normalized again borehole depth in Quaternar deposits. 1000 bins.

EIROPAS SOCIĀLAIS FONDS



		17	gyttja and other biogenic	
		18	sediments	
		10	other	
50 depth	60	Table 1: Main litholog classifier table.		
st the y				

**Country borders Elevation colormap** High : 320 Low : 0

ETRS89 / BalticTM93 / EPSG:25884.

Using ESRI European basemap for country outlines and CGIAR SRTM V4.1 DEM for backdrop (Jarvis et al. 2008).

200 km 100

Figure 1: Locations of boreholes used in calculations for this poster presentation.

#### **References:**

Estonian Geological Survey, [s.a], Hydrogeological Borehole Data Base (cadastre).

Jarvis, A., H.I. Reuter, A. Nelson, E. Guevara, 2008, *Hole-filled SRTM* for the globe Version 4, CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m, Database, http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org

Seņņikovs J., Baltijas artēziskā baseina hidroloģiskā modeļa versija

V1: attīstība otrajā projekta gadā, MOSYS V1 presentation, http://www.puma.lu.lv/fileadmin/user upload/lu portal/ projekti/puma/V1prezentacija/V1 SennikovsJ.pdf Latvian Environment, Geology and Meteorology Centre, [s.a], Data

base "Urbumi" (Latvian Borehole Data Base).

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by the European Social Fund project "Establishment of interdisciplinary scientist group and modelling system for ground-water research", 2009/0212/1DP/1.1.1.2.0/09/APIA/VIAA/060

**INVESTING IN YOUR FUTURE**